

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/585,061	NORVILLE ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Kevin P. Kerns	1725	

All Participants:

(1) Kevin P. Kerns.

(2) James Durlacher, applicants' attorney.

Status of Application: Examiner's Amendment

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 31 August 2004

Time: 4:30pm EDT

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No
 If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A -- the Office Action mailed August 18, 2004 is now vacated

Claims discussed:

N/A

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

Kevin Kerns 8/31/04

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner informed the applicants' attorney that the non-final Office Action mailed August 18, 2004 has been vacated. All claims of record (claims 1-19, 24-26, and 31-38) are allowed in view of the Board of Appeals reversal decision. A minor revision to update the status of a related application in the specification was discussed and agreed upon. New formal drawings are required in response to this Office Action.

Kevin Kerns 8/31/04